

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/622,390	Applicant(s) HSIUNG, BOB	
	Examiner L Amerson	Art Unit 3764	

All Participants:

(1) L Amerson.

(2) Thomas Rozsa.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 1 June 2005
Time: 2:15pm EST
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
none
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner sought permission to prepare an examiners amendment with the following changes: page 6, line 9; drawing corrections to reference numerals 156 and 228. The examiner questioned whether 112, 6th paragraph is invoked for the means language in claim 4 and 5 and the representative positively confirmed..